



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,178	12/04/2003	Gregory J. May	200308973-1	8589

22879 7590 11/27/2006

HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

PERVAN, MICHAEL

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2629

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is a closing parenthesis in line 4, which either does not belong or is missing the opening parenthesis. Appropriate correction is required. For purposes of examination, it will be assumed that the parenthesis is a typo.
2. Claims 11, 19 and 35 are objected to because of the following informalities: there is no period at the end of the claim to complete the sentence. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
4. Claims 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regards to claim 40, it recites in line 4, "insulation between the rows of conductors to isolate", to isolate what? This limitation seems to be incomplete and as such makes it difficult to understand what exactly is being claimed. Also, it recites in lines 5 and 6, "rows of the conductors and insulation are arranged to provide power and ground". It is not possible for conductors to provide both power and ground; the same goes for insulation. Therefore, it is difficult to ascertain what exactly is being claimed.

In regards to claims 41-42, since they depend off of claim 40, they are rejected for the same reason as stated for claim 40.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-5, 11, 19-20, 22-27 and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li (US 6,433,844) in view of Yoksza et al (US 5,410,328).

In regards to claim 1, Li discloses an optically addressable pixel, comprising:

an emission sensor (Fig. 1a and col. 1, lines 37-40; emission sensors (sensors 136, 140, 144) sense the intensity of light striking the sensor);

a filter disposed to filter emissions directed toward said emission sensor (col. 1, lines 31-38);

Li does not disclose an emission device responsive to said emission sensor and a frame configured to hold said emission sensor said emission device and said filter, and to pass electric current to said emission device when an outer surface of said frame is brought into contact with a powered conductor.

Yoksza discloses an emission device responsive to said emission sensor (col. 3, line 65-col. 4, line 16) and a frame configured to hold said emission sensor, said emission device and said filter (col. 2, line 62-col. 3, line 27), and to pass electric current

to said emission device when an outer surface of said frame is brought into contact with a powered conductor (electrical jack 42) (col. 3, line 65-col. 4, line 22).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, module to hold an emission device and pass electric current to emission device when an outer surface of said module is brought into contact with a powered conductor, by incorporate the teachings of Yoksza into the device of Li because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 2, Li and Yoksza do not disclose the pixel according to claim 1, wherein said filter emission sensor receives emissions on one side of the pixel and said emission device produces a display on the one side.

However, since no benefit or advantage is described in the specification, the examiner believes this to be a designer's choice.

In regards to claim 3, Li and Yoksza do not disclose the pixel according to claim 2, wherein said emission sensor is held adjacent to said emission device by said frame on the one side.

However, since no benefit or advantage is described in the specification, the examiner believes this to be a designer's choice.

In regards to claim 4, Li discloses the pixel according to claim 1, said filter receives emissions on one side of said pixel (Fig. 1a and col. 1, lines 25-51; the light passes through the filters and onto the emission sensors, which then sends a signal to the emission device of Yoksza).

Li does not disclose said emission device produces a display on an opposite side of said pixel.

Yoksza discloses said emission device produces a display on an opposite side of said pixel (Figs. 2a, 2b, 3b and col. 2, lines 62-67; as seen in the drawings the emission devices (LEDs) are positioned to produce a display on one side of the frame (LED module)).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, LEDs positioned to produce a display on one side of the frame, by incorporating emission sensors and filters of Li into the receptacle array and frame of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 5, Li discloses the pixel according to claim 1, comprising a plurality of respective emission sensors, filters (Fig. 1a and col. 1, lines 37-40; as seen from the drawing, the pixel comprises a plurality of emission sensors (sensors 136, 140 and 144) and filters (124, 128 and 132)).

Li does not disclose emission devices held in said frame.

Yoksza discloses emission devices held in said frame (col. 2, lines 62-67; emission devices (LEDs) are held in said frame (LED module)).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, LEDs positioned to produce a display on one side of the frame, by incorporating emission sensors and filters of Li into the receptacle array and frame of

Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 11, Li does not disclose a receptacle array, comprising a pixel of claim 1, inserted into a receptacle array, the receptacle array including a plurality of receptacles shaped to accommodate pixels, each of said receptacles making electrical contact with the frame of an inserted pixel.

Yoksza discloses a receptacle array, comprising a pixel of claim 1, inserted into a receptacle array, the receptacle array including a plurality of receptacles (Fig. 3b) shaped to accommodate pixels (Fig. 3b; since pixels are held in the frame (LED module) which are connected to the face of the display, the receptacles must accommodate the pixels), each of said receptacles making electrical contact with the frame of an inserted pixel (col. 3, line 65–col. 4, power is provided via the electrical jack, which is part of the receptacle, to the frame (LED module)).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, receptacle array shaped to accommodate pixels and making electrical contact with frame of inserted pixels, by incorporating the pixels of Li into the receptacle array of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 19, Li discloses a pixel for an optically addressed display, comprising:

for each of the plural colors (col. 1, lines 31-37; since the filters could be red, green and blue or cyan, magenta and yellow, there are plural colors), an emission

Art Unit: 2629

sensor that responds to emissions by activating an emission device or emission devices of one or more of the plural colors (col. 1, lines 37-42; each filter corresponds to an emission sensor (sensors 136, 140 and 144), which convert the light received into an electrical signal which activates the emission device of Yoksza, therefore emission sensor responds to emissions by activating an emission device of one or more of the plural colors).

Li does not disclose a frame shaped to fit into a corresponding receptacle and emission devices of plural colors held within the frame to make electrical contact with a power circuit when the frame is inserted into a corresponding receptacle.

Yoksza discloses a frame shaped to fit into a corresponding receptacle (Fig. 3b; each frame (LED module) fits into a receptacle of the receptacle array) and emission devices of plural colors (col. 2, lines 62-67; since the frame (LED module) contains LEDs, which can be made to emit many different colors, therefore the frame contains emission devices (LEDs) of plural colors) held within the frame to make electrical contact with a power circuit when the frame is inserted into a corresponding receptacle (col. 3, line 65-col. 4, line 22; the frame (LED module) makes contact with the power circuit (electrical jack) when it is inserted into the receptacle).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, frame shaped to fit receptacle, emission devices of plural colors and frame making electrical contact when inserted in receptacle, by incorporating the pixel of Li into the receptacle array of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 20, Li discloses the pixel of claim 19, further comprising, for each emission sensor corresponding to one or more of the plural colors, a filter that passes a band of emissions different from that of emission sensors corresponding to others of the plural colors (col. 1, lines 31-37; since each filter (124, 128 and 132) only allows light of a specific color (band of emissions) to pass through and also corresponds to an emission sensor (136, 140 and 144), the filter, therefore, passes a band of emissions different from the of emission sensors corresponding to others of the plural colors (red, green and blue)).

In regards to claim 22, Li discloses the pixel of claim 19, said filters and emission sensors are positioned to receive emissions from an opposite side of the frame (Fig. 1a and col. 1, lines 25-51; the light passes through the filters and onto the emission sensors, which then sends a signal to the emission device of Yoksza).

Li does not disclose wherein said emission devices comprise LEDs positioned to produce a display on one side of the frame.

Yoksza discloses wherein said emission devices comprise LEDs positioned to produce a display on one side of the frame (Figs. 2a, 2b, 3b and col. 2, lines 62-67; as seen in the drawings the LEDs are positioned to produce a display on one side of the frame (LED module)).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, LEDs positioned to produce a display on one side of the frame, by incorporating emission sensors and filters of Li into the receptacle array and frame of

Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 23, Li and Yoksza do not disclose the pixel of claim 19, wherein said emission devices comprise LEDs positioned to produce a display on one side of the frame and said filters and emission sensors are positioned to receive emissions from said one side of the frame.

However, since no benefit or advantage is described in the specification, the examiner believes this to be a designer's choice.

In regards to claim 24, Li does not disclose the pixel of claim 19, comprising one emission device of each of the plural colors.

Yoksza discloses the pixel of claim 19, comprising one emission device of each of the plural colors (col. 2, lines 62-67; since the frame (LED module) contains emission devices (LEDs), which can be made to emit many different colors, the emission devices of Yoksza would comprise an emission device for each of the plural colors).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, frame insertable into a receptacle array with emission devices for plural colors, by incorporating the emission sensor and filter of Li into the device of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 25, Li does not disclose the pixel of claim 19, comprising a plurality of emission devices of each of the plural colors.

Yoksza discloses the pixel of claim 19, comprising a plurality of emission devices of each of the plural colors (Figs. 2a, 2b, 3b and col. 2, lines 62-67; as can be seen from the drawing each frame (LED module) has multiple emission devices (LEDs), which can be made to emit many different colors, therefore there are a plurality of emission devices of each of the plural colors).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, frame insertable into a receptacle array with a plurality of emission devices for each of the plural colors, by incorporating the emission sensor and filter of Li into the device of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 26, Li does not disclose the pixel of claim 19, wherein said emission devices make electrical contact through pins that extend from the frame.

Yoksza discloses the pixel of claim 19, wherein said emission devices make electrical contact through pins that extend from the frame (Figs 2a, 2b and col. 3, line 65-col. 4, line 16, 23-24; as can be seen from the drawings, the emission devices make electrical contact through pins (electrical jack 42) that extend from the frame (LED module)).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, frame insertable into a receptacle array with a plurality of emission devices for each of the plural colors, by incorporating the emission sensor and filter of Li into the device of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 27, Li does not disclose the pixel of claim 19, wherein said emission devices make electrical contact through their respective frames.

Yoksza discloses the pixel of claim 19, wherein said emission devices make electrical contact through their respective frames (Figs 2a, 2b and col. 3, line 65-col. 4, line 16, 23-24; as can be seen from the drawings, the emission devices make electrical contact through pins (electrical jack 42) that extend from the frame (LED module), since the pins (electrical jack 42) are part of the frame (LED module) the emission device also makes electrical contact through their respective frames).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, frame insertable into a receptacle array with a plurality of emission devices for each of the plural colors, by incorporating the emission sensor and filter of Li into the device of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 32, it recites similar limitations to that of claim 1 and is rejected for the same reasons.

In regards to claim 33, Li does not disclose the pixel according to claim 32, further comprising a printed circuit board held in said frame, said printed circuit board electrically connecting said emission device and said emission sensor.

Yoksza discloses the pixel according to claim 32, further comprising a printed circuit board held in said frame, said printed circuit board electrically connecting said emission device and said emission sensor (Figs. 2a-2b and col. 4, lines 52-58; as seen from the drawings, printed circuit board (LED driver board 44) is held in said frame and

Art Unit: 2629

electrically connects emission device (LEDs) and emission sensor (sensors 136, 140 and 144 of Li)).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, frame insertable into a receptacle array with a plurality of emission devices for each of the plural colors, by incorporating the emission sensor and filter of Li into the device of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 34, the pixel according to claim 32, Li does not disclose wherein said emission device is of an arbitrary color of a color scheme and serves to replace a pixel of said arbitrary color or another color of said color scheme.

Yoksza discloses wherein said emission device is of an arbitrary color of a color scheme (col. 2, lines 62-67; since the emission device (LEDs) can be made to emit many different colors, the emission device can be an arbitrary color of a color scheme) and serves to replace a pixel of said arbitrary color or another color of said color scheme (col. 1, lines 40-48; the pixels (LED module) can be easily replaced and since it is replaceable any arbitrary color of the color scheme can be chosen to replace the pixel).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, frame insertable into a receptacle array with a plurality of emission devices for each of the plural colors, by incorporating the emission sensor and filter of Li into the device of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 35, it recites limitations similar to that of claim 11 and is rejected for the same reasons.

7. Claims 6-7, 21 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in view of Yoksza et al in further view of Mertz et al (US 6,295,039).

In regards to claim 6, Li and Yoksza do not disclose the pixel according to claim 5, wherein said each of said plurality of filters comprises a polarization filter, and each of said plurality of respective emission sensors is responsive to a different band of polarization phases.

Mertz discloses the pixel according to claim 5, wherein said each of said plurality of filters comprises a polarization filter (col. 4, lines 1-2; by incorporating the polarization filter into the filters of Li and Yoksza, the plurality of filters would comprise polarization filters), and each of said plurality of respective emission sensors is responsive to a different band of polarization phases (col. 4, lines 1-6; the polarization filters filter the vertical component, by rotating the polarization filter different bands would be passed through the different polarization filters.).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li and Yoksza with the teachings of Mertz, polarization filter, by incorporating the polarization filter of Mertz into the filter of Li and Yoksza because it ensures the intended light will pass through the filter (col. 4, lines 10-18).

In regards to claim 7, Li does not disclose the pixel according to claim 6, further comprising a printed circuit board held in said frame, said printed circuit board

electrically connecting said plurality of emission devices and said plurality of respective emission sensors.

Yoksza discloses the pixel according to claim 6, further comprising a printed circuit board held in said frame, said printed circuit board electrically connecting said plurality of emission devices and said plurality of respective emission sensors (Figs. 2a-2b and col. 4, lines 52-58; as seen from the drawings, printed circuit board (LED driver board 44) is held in said frame and electrically connects emission device (LEDs) and emission sensor (sensors 136, 140 and 144 of Li)).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, frame insertable into a receptacle array with a plurality of emission devices for each of the plural colors, by incorporating the emission sensor and filter of Li into the device of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 21, Li and Yoksza do not disclose the pixel of claim 20, wherein each of said filters comprises a polarization filter, each being physically identical but rotationally positioned to be pass a band of polarized emissions different from that of filters corresponding to others of the plural colors.

Mertz discloses the pixel of claim 20, wherein each of said filters comprises a polarization filter (Figure 5, 201), each being physically identical but rotationally positioned to be pass a band of polarized emissions different from that of filters corresponding to others of the plural colors (col. 4, lines 1-6; since the polarization filter passes vertical component, by using this same filter and applying it to each filter of Li

Art Unit: 2629

and Yoksza, but rotating each filter to different positions, the filters would be rotationally positioned to pass a band of polarized emissions different from filters corresponding to others or plural colors).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li and Yoksza with the teachings of Mertz, polarization filter, by incorporating the polarization filter of Mertz into the filter of Li and Yoksza because it ensures the intended light will pass through the filter (col. 4, lines 10-18).

In regards to claims 28, Li does not disclose a method of producing display from a pixel in an optically addressed pixel array, the method comprising the steps of:

selectively positioning an optically addressed pixel capable of displaying multiple colors to receive a specific phase of a polarized emission and accordingly display only one of the multiple colors;

inserting said pixel into a receptacle array in the position determined in said step of selectively positioning; and

supplying power to said pixel.

Yoksza discloses a method of producing display from a pixel in an optically addressed pixel array, the method comprising the steps of:

selectively positioning an optically addressed pixel capable of displaying multiple colors to receive a specific phase of a polarized emission (Fig. 3b; as can be seen from the drawing the pixels (LED module) have been selectively positioned in the same manner and receive the phase of polarized emission) and accordingly display only one of the multiple colors (Fig. 3b; as can be seen from the drawing the pixels (LED module)

Art Unit: 2629

have been selectively positioned in the same manner and receive the phase of polarized emission, therefore each pixel displays the same color);

inserting said pixel into a receptacle array in the position determined in said step of selectively positioning (col. 1, lines 40-48; since the pixel (LED module) is replaceable, it is inherent that it would need to be inserted into the receptacle array); and

supplying power to said pixel (col. 3, line 65-col. 4, line 16; power is supplied via the receptacle array to the pixel (power is supplied from the ribbon cable to the LED module via electrical jack)).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, frame insertable into a receptacle array with a plurality of emission devices for each of the plural colors, by incorporating the emission sensor and filter of Li into the device of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 29, Li does not disclose the method of claim 28, wherein the step of supplying power supplies power through the receptacle array.

Yoksza discloses the method of claim 28, wherein the step of supplying power supplies power through the receptacle array (col. 3, line 65-col. 4, line 16; power is supplied via the receptacle array to the pixel (power is supplied from the ribbon cable to the LED module via electrical jack)).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, frame insertable into a receptacle array with a plurality of emission

Art Unit: 2629

devices for each of the plural colors, by incorporating the emission sensor and filter of Li into the device of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 30, Li does not disclose the method of claim 28, carried out to replace a pixel in the optically addressed pixel array.

Yoksza discloses the method of claim 28, carried out to replace a pixel in the optically addressed pixel array (col. 1, lines 40-48; pixel (LED module) is replaceable).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, frame insertable into a receptacle array with a plurality of emission devices for each of the plural colors, by incorporating the emission sensor and filter of Li into the device of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

8. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in view of Yoksza et al in view of Mertz et al in further view of Liu et al (US 5,572,037).

In regards to claim 8, Li and Yoksza disclose the pixel according to claim 1, comprising a plurality of respective emission sensors and emission devices held in said frame.

Li and Yoksza do not disclose wherein said filter comprises a polarization filter, the pixel further comprising: a rotatable cap connected to said frame, said polarization filter being held by said cap and wherein a rotational position of said cap determines which one or more of said plurality of respective emission sensors may receive emissions of a proper band to activate emission devices of a respective single color.

Mertz discloses wherein said filter comprises a polarization filter (col. 4, lines 1-2).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li and Yoksza with the teachings of Mertz, filter comprising a polarization filter, by incorporating the filter of Mertz into the filter of Li and Yoksza because it ensures the intended light will pass through the filter (col. 4, lines 10-18).

Li, Yoksza and Mertz do not disclose the pixel further comprising: a rotatable cap connected to said frame, said polarization filter being held by said cap and wherein a rotational position of said cap determines which one or more of said plurality of respective emission sensors may receive emissions of a proper band to activate emission devices of a respective single color.

Liu discloses the pixel further comprising: a rotatable cap (rotating filter wheel 28) connected to said frame (col. 3, lines 61-62; even though the rotatable cap is made of X-ray attenuating material, Liu is referenced to show a rotatable cap wherein the rotational position determines which pixel a sub-beam is directed to), said polarization filter being held by said cap (col. 3, lines 61-62; the rotating cap (rotating filter wheel) is made of X-ray attenuating material, however it is just referred to for it's shape, having an opening and when combined with Li, Yoksza and Mertz operates as claimed) and wherein a rotational position of said cap determines which one or more of said plurality of respective emission sensors may receive emissions of a proper band to activate emission devices of a respective single color (col. 3, lines 61-62; since there is an opening, which rotates changing the rotational position sub-beam is directed, therefore

the rotational position of the rotatable cap determines which emission sensors receive emissions).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li, Yoksza and Mertz with the teachings of Liu, rotatable cap, polarization filter held by rotatable cap and rotational position determining which emission devices receive emissions, by incorporating the rotatable wheel into the filter of Li, Yoksza and Mertz because it allows the light to be directed to its intended pixel or region.

In regards to claim 9, Li, Yoksza and Mertz do not disclose the pixel according to claim 8, further comprising blacked out portions on said polarization filter to align with all emissions sensors corresponding to all but one or more of said plurality of emission sensors based upon the rotational position of said cap.

Liu discloses the pixel according to claim 8, further comprising blacked out portions on said polarization filter to align with all emissions sensors corresponding to all but one or more of said plurality of emission sensors based upon the rotational position of said cap (Fig. 1, 26 and 28; by virtue of having an opening (26) which the X-ray beam is able to pass through and a region (28) which prevents X-ray beams, the region (28) is blacked out towards X-rays. By applying this method to the filter of Li, Yoksza and Mertz the filter would have a blacked out region to prevent light from passing instead of X-rays and an opening to allow light to pass through instead of X-rays).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li, Yoksza and Mertz with the teachings of Liu, blacked out portions on rotatable cap (polarization filter),

by incorporating the rotatable cap of Liu into the filter of Li, Yoksza and Mertz because it prevents light from passing through the filter in unwanted regions.

In regards to claim 10, Li and Yoksza disclose the pixel according to claim 8, with at least one emission sensor in said plurality of emission sensors corresponding to each of a plurality of colors of emission devices in said plurality of emission devices (col. 1, lines 37-40).

Li and Yoksza do not disclose the pixel according to claim 8, wherein said plurality of respective emission sensors are polarization sensitive, and wherein emission sensors corresponding to different colors are responsive to different polarization bands, and wherein the rotational position of said cap determines which of said colors are active.

Mertz discloses wherein said plurality of respective emission sensors are polarization sensitive, and wherein emission sensors corresponding to different colors are responsive to different polarization bands (col. 4, lines 1-6; by incorporating the polarization filter into the filter of Li and Yoksza, the emission sensors (sensors 136, 140 and 144) become responsive to different polarization bands.).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li and Yoksza with the teachings of Mertz, filter comprising a polarization filter, by incorporating the filter of Mertz into the filter of Li and Yoksza because it ensures the intended light will pass through the filter (col. 4, lines 10-18).

Li, Yoksza and Mertz do not disclose wherein the rotational position of said cap determines which of said colors are active.

Liu discloses wherein the rotational position of said cap determines which of said colors are active (col.3, lines 61-62; by incorporating the shape of Liu's filter into the filter of Li, Yoksza and Mertz, the position of the filter would determine which filter is allowed to receive and pass light through).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li, Yoksza and Mertz with the teachings of Liu, blacked out portions on rotatable cap (polarization filter), by incorporating the rotatable cap of Liu into the filter of Li, Yoksza and Mertz because it prevents light from passing through the filter in unwanted regions.

9. Claims 12-13 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in view of Yoksza et al in further view of Hashimoto (US 7,050,021).

In regards to claim 12, Li and Yoksza do not disclose the receptacle array of claim 11, wherein said frame and said receptacles are hexagon shaped.

Hashimoto discloses the receptacle array of claim 11, wherein said frame and said receptacles are hexagon shaped (Figs. 2-3; as can be seen from the drawings the receptacles are formed into a hexagon shape by partition 29).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li and Yoksza with the teachings of Hashimoto, hexagon shaped receptacle array, by incorporating the hexagon shaped receptacle array of Hashimoto with the receptacle array of Li and Yoksza because it provides a solid structure that is more resilient to damage.

In regards to claim 13, Li and Yoksza do not disclose the receptacle array of claim 11, wherein said plurality of receptacles are shaped to configure said receptacle array in a honeycomb shape.

Hashimoto discloses the receptacle array of claim 11, wherein said plurality of receptacles are shaped to configure said receptacle array in a honeycomb shape (Figs. 2-3; as can be seen from the drawings the plurality of receptacles are formed to configure the receptacle array into a honeycomb shape by partition 29).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li and Yoksza with the teachings of Hashimoto, honeycomb shaped receptacle array, by incorporating the honeycomb shaped receptacle array of Hashimoto with the receptacle array of Li and Yoksza because it provides a solid structure that is more resilient to damage.

In regards to claim 36, it recites limitations similar to that of claim 12 and is rejected for the same reasons.

In regards to claim 37, it recites limitations similar to that of claim 13 and is rejected for the same reasons.

10. Claims 14 and 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in view of Yoksza et al in further view of Touw (US 3,859,553).

In regards to claim 14, Li and Yoksza do not disclose the receptacle array of claim 11, wherein said receptacles are formed from rows of conductors, with insulation disposed between alternating ones of the conductors.

Touw discloses the receptacle array of claim 11, wherein said receptacles are formed from rows of conductors, with insulation disposed between alternating ones of

the conductors (Figs. 3 and 8; as can be seen from the drawings, there are rows of conductors (29, 30), which when incorporated into the receptacle array of Li and Yoksza would create a receptacle area formed from rows of conductors. It would be obvious to provide insulation between said rows, otherwise there could be crosstalk between the rows).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li and Yoksza with the teachings of Touw, rows of conductors with insulation between alternating ones of the conductors, by incorporating the rows of conductors of Touw into the receptacle array of Li and Yoksza because it allows even distribution of signals and power to all pixels.

In regards to claim 38, it recites limitations similar to that of claim 14 and is rejected for the same reasons.

In regards to claim 39, Li does not disclose the receptacle array of claim 38, further comprising at least one capacitive element inserted into at least one of said plurality of receptacles.

Yoksza discloses the receptacle array of claim 38, further comprising at least one capacitive element inserted into at least one of said plurality of receptacles (col. 2, lines 62-67; the emission devices (LEDs) themselves act as capacitive elements, therefore at least one capacitive element would be inserted into one of said plurality of receptacles).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, frame insertable into a receptacle array with a plurality of emission devices for each of the plural colors, by incorporating the emission sensor and filter of Li

into the device of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

11. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in view of Yoksza et al in view of Mertz et al in further view of Havel (US 6,577,287).

In regards to claim 15, Li discloses said pixels are part of an optically addressed display device including: an emission source (Fig. 1a; emission source (light rays 104)) and optics defining multiple color channels and said filter comprising filtering to make commonly colored LEDs responsive to different emissions than other sets of commonly colored LEDs (col. 1, lines 29-37).

Li does not disclose an optically addressed display device, comprising a receptacle array of claim 11, wherein said pixel is one of many pixels in said receptacle array, and each said pixel includes at least three LEDs of different colors as emission devices, and said pixels are part of an optically addressed display device including: emissions of multiple polarization states; and a data encoder that applies data, on a pixel-by-pixel and channel-by-channel basis to said emissions by permitting emissions to reach a pixel indicated to be on by the data.

Yoksza discloses an optically addressed display device, comprising a receptacle array of claim 11, wherein said pixel is one of many pixels in said receptacle array (Fig. 3b; as can be seen from the drawing, there are multiple pixels (LED module) in said receptacle array), and each said pixel includes at least three LEDs of different colors as emission devices (Fig. 3b and col. 2, lines 62-67; as can be seen from the drawing, each pixel (LED module) contains more than three emission devices (LEDs), which can

be made to emit many different colors, therefore each pixel (LED module) includes at least three emission devices (LEDs) of different colors).

Li and Yoksza do not disclose said pixels are part of an optically addressed display device including: emissions of multiple polarization states; and a data encoder that applies data, on a pixel-by-pixel and channel-by-channel basis to said emissions by permitting emissions to reach a pixel indicated to be on by the data.

Mertz discloses said pixels are part of an optically addressed display device including: emissions of multiple polarization states (col. 4, lines 1-6; by incorporating the polarization filter of into the filter of Li, emissions of multiple polarization states can be created).

Li, Yoksza and Mertz do not disclose a data encoder that applies data, on a pixel-by-pixel and channel-by-channel basis to said emissions by permitting emissions to reach a pixel indicated to be on by the data.

Havel discloses a data encoder that applies data, on a pixel-by-pixel and channel-by-channel basis to said emissions by permitting emissions to reach a pixel indicated to be on by the data (Fig. 1 and col. 7, lines 25-31; the signals (digital data) generated by the emission sensors of Li would send said signal (digital data) to the decoder of Havel, which would then decode the signal (digital data) into a display code).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li, Yoksza and Mertz with the teachings of Havel, seven-segment decoder, by incorporating the decoder of Havel into the device of Li, Yoksza and Mertz because it controls the pixels so that an image can be displayed.

In regards to claim 16, Li does not disclose the display device of claim 15, wherein said LEDs are powered through an electrical contact between said receptacles and respective frames of said pixels.

Yoksza discloses the display device of claim 15, wherein said LEDs are powered through an electrical contact between said receptacles and respective frames of said pixels (col. 3, line 65-col. 4, line 16; power is supplied to the frame (LED module) through electrical contact with the receptacle (electrical jack 42 connects to receptacle array)).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Yoksza, frame insertable into a receptacle array with a plurality of emission devices for each of the plural colors, by incorporating the emission sensor and filter of Li into the device of Yoksza because it allows the module to be easily replaced without access to the rear of the display (col. 1, lines 42-43 and 47-48).

In regards to claim 17, Li discloses the display device of claim 16, wherein said filter comprises a set of color filters to make commonly colored LEDs responsive to different emissions than other sets of commonly colored LEDs (col. 1, lines 30-37).

12. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in view of Yoksza et al in view of Mertz et al in view of Havel in further view of Touw.

In regards to claim 18, it recites limitations similar to that of claim 14 and is rejected for the same reasons.

13. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li in view of Mertz et al.

In regards to claim 31, Li discloses a pixel for an optically addressed display, comprising: means for producing displays of a plurality of colors (col. 1, lines 31-40); sensor means for each of the plurality of colors (col. 1, lines 37-38) to activate said means for producing in response to received emissions (col. 1, lines 38-40).

Li does not disclose means for making each of said sensor means responsive to emissions of a different polarization band.

Mertz discloses means for making each of said sensor means responsive to emissions of a different polarization band (col. 4, lines 1-2; by incorporating the polarization filter of Mertz into the filters of Li, each sensor means becomes responsive to emissions of a different polarization band).

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to modify Li with the teachings of Mertz, polarization filter, by incorporating the polarization filter of Mertz into the filters of Li because it ensures the intended light will pass through the filter (col. 4, lines 10-18).

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Pervan whose telephone number is (571) 272-0910. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday between 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amr Awad can be reached on (571) 272-7764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2629

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MVP
Nov. 8, 2006

AMR A. AWAD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
